Grand J Urol 2023;3(2):38-41 DOI: 10.5505/GJU.2023.60362



Bipolar vs Monopolar Transurethral Resection of the Prostate in Iraqi Patients: A Prospective Study

Iraklı Hastalarda Prostatın Bipolar ve Monopolar Transüretral Rezeksiyonu: Bir Prospektif Çalışma

Jihad Anad Khalef D. Ahmed Hadi Essa D

Department of Surgery, Division of Urology, Al Iraqia University College of Medicine, Baghdad, Iraq

Cite as: Khalef JA, Essa AH. Bipolar vs monopolar transurethral resection of the prostate in Iraqi patients: a prospective study.

Grand J Urol 2023;3(2):38-41.

Submission date: 12 March 2023 Acceptance date: 13 April 2023 Online first: 19 April 2023 Publication date: 19 May 2023

Corresponding Author: Jihad Anad Khalef / Al Iraqia University College of Medicine, Department of Surgery, Division of Urology, Baghdad, Iraq anadjihad@gmail.com / ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8417-1434

Abstract

Objective: Bipolar transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) is a minimally invasive procedure that causes fewer problems, and a faster resection, but requires more expensive equipment. It is the treatment of choice for benign prostatic hyperplasia. In this study, its outcomes will be compared to those of conventional monopolar TURP.

Materials and Methods: Twenty-seven patients aged between 52 and 65 years underwent either monopolar TURP (Group 1, n: 15) or bipolar TURP (Group 2, n: 12). Preoperative and perioperative data were recorded and analyzed, including the maximal flow rate (Qmax), prostate volume, intraoperatively resected tissue volume, resection velocity, and operation time.

Results: Preoperative mean prostate volumes in Groups 1, and 2 were 82.6 ± 21 ml and 78.8 ± 12 ml, respectively (p=0.117). Preoperative mean serum sodium levels were 140.4 ± 2.3 mmol/l in Group 1 and 139.8 ± 2.2 mmol/l in Group 2. Preoperative mean serum hemoglobin values were 15 ± 0.8 g/dl in Group 1, and 14.5 ± 2.2 g/dl in Group 2. Postoperative mean serum sodium levels were 130.6 and 136.7 mmol/l, in Groups 1, and 2, respectively. Eight patients from the monopolar TURP group exhibited a notable drop in serum sodium levels. In the monopolar TURP group, there were 5 occurrences of TUR syndrome and 2 patients needed blood transfusions due to a mean decrease of 5 g/dl in hemoglobin levels. Complications were identified in 7 cases.

Conclusion: Compared to monopolar TURP, bipolar TURP is associated with a shorter hospital stay, and lower transfusion and complication rates.

Keywords: benign prostate hypertrophy, transurethral prostate resection, bipolar, monopolar, saline



Amaç: Bipolar prostat transüretral rezeksiyonu (TURP), daha az problem ve daha hızlı rezeksiyon oranı sunan, ancak daha pahalı ekipman gerektiren minimal invaziv bir prosedürdür. Benign prostat hipertrofisi için tercih edilen tedavidir. Bu çalışmada, sonuçlar konvansiyonel monopolar TURP ile karşılaştırılacaktır. Gereçler ve Yöntemler: Yaşları 52-65 arasında değişen 27 hastanın 15'ine monopolar TURP (Grup 1, n: 15) ve 12'sine bipolar TURP (Grup 2, n: 12) uygulandı. Maksimal akış hızı (Qmax), prostat hacmi, intraoperatif rezeke edilen doku hacmi, rezeksiyon hızı ve operasyon süresi dahil olmak üzere preoperative ve perioperatif veriler kaydedildi ve analiz edildi.

Bulgular: Preoperatif ortalama prostat hacimleri Grup 1 ve Grup 2'de sırasıyla 82,6 ± 21 ml ve 78,8 ± 12 ml idi (p=0,117). Grup 1 için preoperatif ortalama serum sodyum aralığı 140.4 ± 2.3 mmol/l ve grup 2 için 139.8± 2.2 mmol/l idi. Preoperatif ortalama serum hemoglobin değerleri Grup 1'de 15 ± 0,8 g/dl, Grup 2'de 14,5 ± 2,2 g/dl idi. Postoperatif ortalama serum sodyum düzeyleri Grup 1 ve Grup 2'de sırasıyla 130,6 ve 136,7 mmol/l idi. Monopolar TURP grubundan sekiz hasta, serum sodyum seviyelerinde dikkate değer bir düşüş sergiledi. Monopolar TURP grubunda 5 kez TUR sendromu görüldü ve 2 hastada hemoglobin düzeyinde ortalama 5 g/dl azalma nedeniyle kan transfüzyonu gerekti. 7 vakada komplikasyon belirlendi.

Sonuç: Monopolar TURP ile karşılaştırıldığında, bipolar TURP daha kısa hastanede kalış süresi ve daha düşük transfüzyon ve komplikasyon oranları ile ilişkilidir. Anahtar kelimeler: benign prostat hipertrofisi, transüretral prostat rezeksiyonu, bipolar, monopolar, salin

ORCID ID: A.H. Essa 0000-0001-8706-5357

Introduction

One of the most prevalent issues in older men is benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), which causes lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS). With the aim of reducing side effects and improving quality of life (QoL) of the patients, numerous treatment methods are being used [1]. The gold standard for treating symptomatic BPH associated with prostate volumes ranging between 30 and 80 cc is monopolar transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) [2]. It is approved as a treatment for LUTS secondary to BPH, but despite numerous technical advancements, it has drawbacks. Considering that it still has a morbidity rate of 11% and a death rate of 0.1%, we are looking for a new procedure that will produce the best possible functional outcomes [3]. The bipolar TURP is now being compared to the traditional monopolar TURP, with efficacy similar to the monopolar procedure but with reduced perioperative problems, insignificant TUR symptoms, lesser blood loss, and shorter catheter dwell time [4-6]. As a lengthy procedure a large prostate can be safely removed under normal saline irrigation [7]. We planned a three-arm trial with a focus on perioperative and postoperative complications as well as immediate functional outcomes because there was a lack of prospective randomized studies comparing monopolar and bipolar TURP. The aim of this study is to compare the outcomes of bipolar and monopolar TURP. To our knowledge, this twopart study is the first prospective randomized trial to compare monopolar TURP versus bipolar TURP [8-12].

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted prospectively on patients who underwent TURP from December 2020 to December 2022. BPH patients with normal PSA, and a prostate volume not exceeding 100 cm³ were included in the study. The patients with an abnormal PSA, any malignancy, stones or prostate volume exceeding 100 cm³ were not included in the study.

Surgical indications were retention of urine, failure of medical therapy, and presence of hematuria. Monopolar TURP (Group 1) was applied to fifteen and bipolar TURP (Group 2) to twelve patients. Karl Storz brand 24 F cystoscopes were used for both groups. The irrigation fluid was distilled water in monopolar TURP, and normal saline in bipolar TURP. Twentyone patients had been on an alpha-1-adrenoreceptor blocker and fifteen patients on a combination of an alpha-1-adrenoreceptor blocker and 5-alpha-reductase inhibitors for an average duration of 9 months before the surgery. At the end of the monopolar and bipolar TURP, a 22 or 24 Fr 3-way urethral Foley catheter was inserted and normal saline irrigation was used. Continuous saline irrigation was done until the urine drained from the urethral Foley catheter became clear with time. The catheters were removed when the urine became clear without continuous saline irrigation within postoperative 3-5 days. Preoperative and perioperative data were recorded and analyzed, including International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS), maximal flow rate (Qmax), prostate volume, intraoperatively resected prostatic tissue volume, resection velocity, operative time, changes in the serum levels of hemoglobin, and sodium, length of postoperative hospital stay.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyzes were performed with SPSS (version 25, Armonk, US). Continuous variables were defined as mean and standart deviation (SD), and cathegorical variables as frequencies (n) and percentages (%). Continuous variables were compared with Mann-Whitney U test and categorical variables with Pearson chi-squared test. P-values of less than 0.05 were deemed statistically significant.

Results

Preoperative prostate volumes were 82.6 ± 21 ml in Group 1 and 78.8 ± 12 ml in Group 2 (p=0.117) (**Table 1**). The preoperative mean serum sodium, and hemoglobin values were 140.4 ± 2.3 mmol/l vs 15 \pm 0.8 g/dl in Group 1, and 139.8 \pm 2.2 mmol/l vs 14.5 ± 2.2 g/dl, in Group 2, respectively. The postoperative mean serum sodium levels in Groups 1, and 2 were 130.6 and 136.7 mmol/l, respectively. Eight patients from the monopolar TURP group exhibited a notable drop in serum sodium levels. In these patients, the average decrease in serum sodium was 9 ± 1.22 mmol/l. Significant reduction in postoperative serum sodium levels below 125 mmol/l causing the TUR syndrome. The mean operative time was 55 ± 18.4 min in Group 1 and 63 ± 29.8 min in Group 2, (p=0.001). The mean resected prostate volumes were 40.6 ± 12.2 ml in Group 1 and $45.4 \pm$ 10.9 ml in Group 2 (p<0.001). Seven patients in the monopolar TURP group had complications. There were five cases of TUR syndrome in the monopolar group where patients presented with blurred vision and disturbed consciousness. These patients were treated with IV furosemide and hypertonic saline, and 2 patients needed blood transfusions because their hemoglobin levels had decreased on average by 5 g/dl. The alterations in hemoglobin levels are statistically significant, and the bipolar group did not require blood transfusions as a result of postoperative changes in hemoglobin levels.

Postoperatively mean duration of hospital stays were 3 ± 2.3 days in Group 1 and 1 ± 1.3 days in Group 2 (p<0.001). The length of hospital stays for patients in the bipolar TURP group was less than those in the other group. Postoperative 6-month IPSS results revealed statistically significant improvement. In none of the TURP groups any urethral or meatal strictures were not noted during the 6-month follow-up period.

Table 1. The clinical outcome comparison between monopolar vs bipolar TURP

	Group 1 (monopolar, n=15)	Group 2 (bipolar, n=12)	P value
Age of patients, years	52-65	52-65	0.783
Prostate volume, ml	82.6±21	78.8±12	0.117
Resected volume, ml	40.6±12.2	45.4±10.9	<0.001
Operative time, min	55±18.4	63±29.8	0.001
Hospitalization, days	3±2.3	1±1.3	<0.001
Transfusion rate, n (%)	2 (13.3)	0	0.03

Discussion

Treatment-refractory urinary retention, hematuria, bladder stones, recurrent infections, failure of drug therapy, or patient preference are the main indications for surgical treatment in BPH. In the 1920s and 1930s, conventional TURP underwent its initial development in the United States. TURP is acknowledged as the gold standard for the surgical treatment of BPH as more improvements in surgical instruments and techniques have been made with time [13]. IPSS and Qmax scores improve in about 80% of patients who undergo TURP [14].

Throughout the past three decades, TURP-related morbidities have decreased [15]. Perioperative bleeding and TUR syndrome, a result of excessive absorption of hypotonic solution, are still serious complicaions, and 2% of patients experience TUR syndrome. If the gland is larger than 45 ml and the excision takes more than 90 minutes, the risk is higher. If it occurs, abort the procedure and give diuretics and hypertonic saline [16]. According to our findings, bipolar TURP reduced the chance of developing TUR syndrome compared to monopolar TURP due to a lesser amount of change in serum sodium levels. Compared to monopolar TURP, bipolar TURP allows surgeons to perform the procedure more slowly and to remove more prostate tissue. Also, compared to monopolar TURP, bipolar TURP appears to be more effective at removing tissue and controlling bleeding [17]. In contrast to the need for blood transfusion in two cases in the monopolar TURP group, no transfusions were necessary in the bipolar TURP group. At this point, we should consider the fact that the use of 5-alpha reductase inhibitors such as dutasteride decreases the bleeding because of a decrease in gland vascularity.

Bipolar TURP also required shorter postoperative hospital stay than the other group. According to Starkman et al., individuals who underwent Gyrus bipolar TURP had their catheters withdrawn on average 1.4 days sooner than those who underwent monopolar TURP [18]. Eaton and Francis found that with the Gyrus method, 32 out of 40 patients could be discharged on the same day of the operation. Operators preferred bipolar TURP over monopolar TURP in multicenter research of the procedure due to cleaner resection surfaces (64%) and greater efficacy when resecting the apex of the prostate glands (93%) [19]. The utilization of monopolar TURP in large prostate glands is limited, Bhansali et al. compared bipolar TURP with monopolar TURP in their series of 70 patients with prostate glands >60 ml and reported that bipolar TURP showed excellent results in terms of perioperative blood loss, change in serum sodium levels, and duration of catheterization [20].

The main limitation of our study is very limited number of patients who were included in the study. However, due to the lack of prospectively designed studies on this subject, we think that our current study will contribute to the literature.

Conclusions

Shorter hospital stays, alow rate of transfusions, and fewer complications like TUR syndrome were advantages of bipolar TURP that were comparable to those of monopolar TURP in terms of alleviating voiding symptoms. However, there is a need for multicenter, prospective randomized studies with a higher number of patients to support our results.

Ethics Committee Approval: The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Al-Iraqia University College of Medicine (Approval date, and registration number: 05.03.2023-FM.SA /36)

Informed Consent: An informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

Publication: The results of the study were not published in full or in part in form of abstracts.

Peer-review: Externally and internally peer-reviewed. **Authorship Contributions:** Any contribution was not made by any individual not listed as an author. Concept – J.A.K., A.H.E.; Design – J.A.K., A.H.E.; Supervision – J.A.K., A.H.E.; Resources – J.A.K., A.H.E.; Materials – J.A.K., A.H.E.; Data Collection and/or Processing – J.A.K., A.H.E.; Analysis and/ or Interpretation – J.A.K., A.H.E.; Literature Search – J.A.K., A.H.E.; Writing Manuscript – J.A.K., A.H.E.; Critical Review – J.A.K., A.H.E.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declare that this study received no financial support.

References

- [1] Lowe FC. Goals for benign prostatic hyperplasia therapy. Urology 2002;59:1-2. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0090-4295(01)01554-0
- [2] Yu X, Elliott SP, Wilt TJ, McBean AM. Practice patterns in benign prostatic hyperplasia surgical therapy: The dramatic increase in minimally invasive technologies. J Urol 2008;180:241-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2008.03.039
- [3] Reich O, Gratzke C, Bachmann A, Seitz M, Schlenker B, Hermanek P, et al. Morbidity, mortality and early outcome of transurethral resection of the prostate: A prospective multicenter evaluation of 10,654 patients. J Urol 2008;180:246-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2008.03.058
- [4] Ho HS, Cheng CW. Bipolar transurethral resection of prostate: a new reference standard? Curr Opin Urol 2008;18:50-5. https://doi.org/10.1097/MOU.0b013e3282f194db
- [5] Fagerström T, Nyman CR, Hahn RG. Bipolar transurethral resection of the prostate causes less bleeding than the monopolar technique: a single-centre randomized trial of 202 patients. BJU Int 2010;105:1560-4. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.09052.x
- [6] Yoon CJ, Kim JY, Moon KH, Jung HC, Park TC. Transurethral resection of the prostate with a bipolar tissue management system compared to conventional monopolar resectoscope: One-year outcome. Yonsei Med J 2006;47:715-20.

https://doi.org/10.3349/ymj.2006.47.5.715

- [7] Kwon JS, Lee JW, Lee SW, Choi HY, Moon HS. Comparison of effectiveness of monopolar and bipolar transurethral resection of the prostate and open prostatectomy in large benign prostatic hyperplasia. Korean J Urol 2011;52:269-73. https://doi.org/10.4111/kju.2011.52.4.269
- [8] Ruszat R, Wyler SF, Seitz M, Lehmann K, Abe C, Bonkat G, et al. Comparison of potassium- titanyl-phosphate laser vaporization of the prostate and trans- urethral resection of the prostate: update of a prospective non-randomized two-centre study. BJU Int 2008;102:1432-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2008.07905.x
- [9] Bouchier-Hayes DM, Van Appledorn S, Bugeja P, Crowe H, Challacombe B, Costello AJ. A randomized trial of photoselective vaporization of the prostate using the 80-W potassium-titanyl-phosphate laser vs transurethral prostatectomy, with a 1-year follow-up. BJU Int 2010;105:964-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.08961.x
- [10] Mohanty NK, Vasudeva P, Kumar A, Prakash S, Jain M, Arora RP. Photoselective vaporization of prostate vs. transurethral resection of pros- tate: A prospective, randomized study with one year follow- up. Indian J Urol 2012;28:307-12. https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-1591.102708
- [11] Ruszat R, Wyler S, Forster T, Reich O, Stief CG, Gasser TC, et al. Safety and effectiveness of photoselective vaporization of the prostate (PVP) in patients on ongoing oral anticoagulation. Eur Urol 2007;51:1031-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2006.08.006
- [12] Bachmann A, Schürch L, Ruszat R, Wyler SF, Seifert HH, Müller A, et al. Photoselective vaporization (PVP) versus transurethral resection of the pros- tate (TURP): A prospective bi-centre study of perioperative morbidity and early functional outcome. Eur Urol 2005;48:965-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2005.07.001

- [13] Kim DK, Kim SJ, Moon HS, Park SY, Kim YT, Choi HY, et al. The role of TURP in the detection of prostate cancer in BPH patients with previously negative prostate biopsy. Korean J Urol 2010;51:313-7. https://doi.org/10.4111/kju.2010.51.5.313
- [14] Holtgrewe HL. Transurethral prostatectomy. Urol Clin North Am 1995;22:357-68. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7762111/
- [15] Mebust WK. Transurethral prostatectomy. Urol Clin North Am 1990;17:575-85. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2197768/
- [16] Mebust WK, Holtgrewe HL, Cockett AT, Peters PC. Transurethral prostatectomy: immediate and postoperative complications. A cooperative study of 13 participating institutions evaluating 3,885 patients. J Urol 1989;141:243-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-5347(17)40731-2
- [17] Patel A, Adshead JM. First clinical experience with new transurethral bipolar prostate electrosurgery resection system: Controlled tissue ablation (coblation technology). J Endourol 2004;18:959-64. https://doi.org/10.1089/end.2004.18.959
- [18] Starkman JS, Santucci RA. Comparison of bipolar transurethral resection of the prostate with standard transurethral prostatectomy: shorter stay, earlier catheter removal and fewer complications. BJU Int 2005;95:69-71 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2005.05253.x
- [19] Eaton AC, Francis RN. The provision of transurethral prostatectomy on a day-case basis using bipolar plasma kinetic technology. BJU Int 2002;89:534-7. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1464-410x.2002.02673.x
- [20] Bhansali M, Patankar S, Dobhada S, Khaladkar S. Management of large (>60 g) prostate gland: PlasmaKinetic Superpulse (bipolar) versus conventional (monopolar) transurethral resection of the prostate. J Endourol 2009;23:141-5. https://doi.org/10.1089/end.2007.0005